

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/646,514		Applicant(s) NEUBAUER ET AL.	
	Examiner Paul Durand		Art Unit 3721	

All Participants:

(1) Paul Durand.

(2) Greg Stanton.

Date of Interview: 19 January 2006

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: Appeal brief filed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 1430

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
48-52

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet


Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



Stephen A. Gentry
Primary Examiner




(Examiner/SPE Signature)

1/19/06

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner indicated that in view of the appeal brief, examiner would allow claims 53-57, but would maintain rejection on claims 48-52 in view of the cited art. Applicant agreed to cancel claims 48-52 to place application in condition for allowance, with right to file continuation based on cancelled claims. .



Stephen F. Gerrity
Primary Examiner